The #Joker Intelligence Agency Presents

Something New Under The Sun October 2019



They say the winners write the history books, but other Countries have their own versions of history as well. Today we will look at an alternative version of the American revolution followed by some more modern America history and then move into the main topic of "something new under the sun" that humanity has never seen before and some basic concepts on regulating it properly.

In modern American terms a "terrorist" is a word for any person who is part of or owner of a militia that is not owned or backed by a Country. Members of law enforcement and emergency services are now taught that our Founding Fathers in modern day terms are considered terrorists. The American revolution was started by a terrorist organization called "The Sons and Daughters of Liberty."

I'd like to share a little bit about the power of information and control of media, and I feel one of the best ways to do this is to look historically at some American history. Through their alliance with The Free Masons the "Sons and Daughters of Liberty" had complete control of the press and through a combination of yellow journalism and outright lies they changed the tides of the war putting the Colonies in favor of starting a war with Britain. I would like to focus on two key events, The Boston Tea Party and The Boston Massacre, and explore the truth of what happened and how these stories were manipulated by those who owned the media. These were two of the key issues that led to the American Colonies succeeding from Britain.

The secret truth of the Boston tea party most people do not know is that the tea tax actually lowered the price of tea and also the amount of taxes. The distance between the American colonies and Great Britain was covered by a great distance over an ocean.

Before the discovery (or re-discovery) of America by Columbus boats had never been used to sail across this great divide before. Boats had instead been used to sail around the border of continents without venturing far out into the ocean. Because of this boats had not yet been created which were designed to travel across the ocean or over great distances. Sending a boat across the ocean required not only a large amount of time, but a large amount of risk that the boat and its passengers would not survive the journey.

After sending diplomats to America King George learned that the American people were upset over taxes. The American Colonies were being taxed 1/3rd what the British citizens were paying as part of their effort to help the colonies develop. By the time King George learned that the American people were upset about the price of taxes and sent a ship back across the ocean he decided to do something about this problem in an effort to appease the angry colonists.

King George's solution to the American Colonies complaining about the price of taxes was to give a monopoly to the West Indie Tea company on all tea produced within the colonies. This monopoly enabled the West Indie Tea company, being the only tea provider, to not only lower the cost of tea, but also to lower the amount of taxes by placing a tax on the tea and its profits.

The terrorist organization of The Sons and Daughters moved quickly, knowing that time was on their side as a trip across the ocean and back would take over 6 months. They changed the description to the problem to place blame on the West Indie Tea company for having a monopoly and changed the issue from the taxes being too high to the idea that they had no representation on whether or not this monopoly should be allowed which then evolved into the famous "no taxation without representation." In addition to making efforts to prevent King George from being aware of the new updates on their complaints they also staged the Boston Tea Party where they sabotaged the West Indie Tea company and vandalize their products as an effort to anger King George so any response could be used to paint him as more tyrannical

The story of the Boston Massacre has many variations, but I'll tell the most common version at the time here. The "Red Coats" (a derogatory slur for the British soldiers) got into an argument with a young boy about whether or not his master owed him money for a rope. It should be remembered that in these times children began working as employees at the age of 8. The argument got heated, and the Red Coat dismissed the boy walking away. One of the lower ranking soldiers, upset at the boy's childlike disrespect for authority hit the boy with the butt of his gun. The boy ran into the local tavern full of drunk men screaming that a red coat solider had beaten him, stirring up an angry mob.

While this is one of many versions of how the story started the next part is what really happened. Someone rang the fire-bell. In Colonial times all houses were built as connected directly to each other and if a house caught fire the house had to be put out or the entire village would burn to the ground. All citizens who were not children, extremely elderly, or disabled were expected to gather around the fire-bell when it was rung to help put out the fire. To be clear when the fire bell is rung every single person in the village except people who wouldn't be useful in a mob anyway (children, elderly, or disabled) were required to come to where the fire bell was ringing.

An angry mob had formed. Was it a young boy who stirred up some drunks or some other situation? This is unknown. What we know is that literally the entire village has formed an angry mob around the Red Coats. It is winter and they are throwing rocks and snowballs with chunks of ice in them at the Red Coats. We do not know if a rock or snowball hit a Red Coat's arm, or if this terrified mass simply shot in self defense. What we do know is that later in a trial a Colonial court (not run by Britain but by the Colonies themselves) ruled that this incident was the Red Coats being victimized by a mob attack and the Red Coats were not charged for firing into the crowd.

Newspapers had recently been invented, but photography had not. Newspapers would compile letters through preset metal templates pressed into ink and placed onto paper for letters and images would be drawn by hand by being carved into metal plates to be printed onto the papers. All the metal pieces of letters and plates for images would be compiled in the right order and then mass printed onto paper for printing purposes by printing presses.

2 Plates exist, kept to this day in a British Museum of artwork depicting this event. The first plate shows the event as it happened with a large mob surrounding the Red Coats, throwing things and screaming as they are huddled in a small group pointing guns at a large crowd. However The Sons and Daughters of Liberty had to request the plate be redrawn to fit their narrative that the Boston "Red Coats" had massacred an entire crowd (even though a Colonial Court ruled this was not the case.)

A new plate for an image was designed with a terrified swarm of people running from the red coats. They are firing into the crowd, grinning like demons out of a horror story shooting wildly as people in the crowd are being shot at and falling down left and right. This was the story that was printed, although the truth is historically known in the Colonial Court ruling and the original plate from the story that should have been printed if an honest story were to be told.

We can see from these historical examples that many Americans to this day are not aware of this information as winners write the history books and these things while they are the factual rendition of what happened do not always make it into the American history's public education system. This is a good example of how controlling information controls world events. There was much debate by our founding fathers as to whether to try to restore relations with King George or to rebel into what became The United States of America. Most of the people debating were relying on the false information created by the terrorist organizations "The Sons and Daughters of Liberty" through their control of the press and without these key false versions of the story this rebellion would never have taken place.

I would now like to take a moment to talk about ISIS and ISIL. ISIS and ISIL are acronyms created by the US Government, not by these organizations themselves. ISIS = Islamic State of Iraq & Syria & ISIL = Islamic State of Iraq & Libya. It is important to note in our history that all three Countries in both of these acronyms Iraq, Syria, and Libya are Countries that the United States of America has gone to war with.

In going to war with these Countries we left them in ruins and failed to install Democracy or to stabilize these regions. As a result of this Islamic States of Terrorists (Militaries not backed by any Country) have formed which we describe based on their designation by the Countries they originate from.

It's important to understand the history of 9/11 here since the war with Iraq, and the destabilization of this Country led to the final straw that broke the camel's back creating the modern formation of ISIS and ISIL. At the time the US Government had a database called "Al Quaida." Much like ISIS and ISIL this is not what any particular terrorist organization called itself, nor was it a well organized group. Al Quaida was simply a database of all Muslims who were members of or owned a militia that was not backed by a government. Ergo, all Muslim terrorists everywhere in the world were Al Quaida.

On 9/11 a great tragedy struck America. We all know the details here. The CIA has publicly admitted to the fact that a majority of the 9/11 hijackers were from Saudi Arabia. This Country, which we are still allies with had many high ranking government officials who were friends with the Bush family, the family which had a standing president, George W. Bush in office.

It should be noted that in all standard black op operations run by any Country if (or sometimes when) the operative is caught that person is disavowed by their Country. They are expected not to admit they were working on behalf of that Country, and that Country will deny their affiliation with said operative. This combination of factors is seen by many people as suspicious, because it is a highly common and normalized practice for a Country to attack it's own people in order to falsely blame an external enemy and therefore justify war with that enemy. Iraq was interfering with oil interests and America's related objectives, including corporations the Bush family owned.

Although it is now a historically acknowledged fact that Iraq did not attack us on 9/11 the decision was made to attack Iraq. After Libya, Syria, and now Iraq, the Bush administration and their attack on Iraq to destabilize the region led to the formation of what is now called ISIS and ISIL.

Putin has of course been working through advanced psychological warfare campaigns to spread the message that America while perfectly capable of destroying ISIS and ISIL has simply chosen not to do this in an effort to justify what is called a "war-game" for our own plans and ambitions to manipulate war in the middle east. Had Hillary Clinton won the election and attacked Russia as she had planned many of our allies might have then seen these claims as proven true to say nothing of Russia's new nuke shell called "Satan 2" which our Country lacks the ability to stop if launched at us due to it's ability to travel faster than the speed of sound and therefore bypass radar.

Putin's influence was seen in our own Democracy as well in regards to Trump being elected. This led to the realization that other Countries could use fake news as a means to alter our democratic process unfairly.

All of this information brings me to the main point of the article which is about something new under the sun. It is said there is nothing new under the sun, because every major event that happens has happened before in some form of fashion. Virtually every major world event that happens is history repeating itself. Guns were new, but projectile weapons weren't, so this was merely a new version of an old thing. For a Country to attack itself to justify war on an external enemy is not new either and is extremely common even in the modern world. They say those who do not study history are doomed to repeat it, and those who do not study history are doomed to stand by helplessly while everyone else repeats it.

However when something new happens we can call this "something new under the sun" because this is something which has not happened before. The first thing which was something new under the sun was the creation of weapons of mass destruction, specifically the atom bomb. Debatably this may have happened before recorded history. The Vedic scriptures which the Hindus consider historically accurate speak of an advanced civilization with flying airplanes destroyed by technology of weapons of mass destruction. However in our known and recorded history this was something new, and in having this thing the ways of war and world politics were altered.

There is one more thing which is something new under the sun however. Due to the geographic limitations and lack of technology control of mass information has always been in the hands of an elite few. Even our television media and news stations all across this Country are owned by 6 corporations. Operation Mockingbird was put on trial by Congress who determined that the US Government did in fact own most mainstream media outlets and control what was told to the American people and also determined at the end of the hearing the US Government was allowed to continue to do so. This was especially easy before the Internet. This is how our Country has been since it was founded as you can see from the historical examples in the beginning of this document.

However the Internet and all the devices which connect to it and its loose regulation create a flow of information that bypasses three barriers that in all of human history have never before been bypassed. These barriers are political, religious, and geographical. Before the creation of the Internet and various historical events that led to the freedom of information and freedom of religion in America where the Internet is headquarted these three barriers have never been bypassed before.

This creates many new things humanity has not encountered before. No longer does any religious, corporate, or political party control all mass information. Varying information and misinformation of facts and opinions that contradict each other exist, and through social media and websites this information can be shared. Many Americans have become aware of the lies and deception of mainstream media and turn to Internet news which has even less regulation than regular news.

This of course leads to the need for regulation of this new flow of information. Literally hundreds of thousands of people in America believe that the world is flat, because of conspiracy theorist websites that exist using pseudo-science to argue claims that this is true. This has not been believed since the invention of the telescope by Galileo the scientist who was assassinated by The Roman Catholic Church for contradicting their dogma back when they controlled the flow of information before the Renaissance

However we can see humanity diverting back into the dark ages as people are made to believe the old lies. People believe the world is flat, reptilian shapeshifters control our society, hybrid humans walk amoung us, and of course the power given to the most famous imaginary force "the devil" which as you will see in "the devil is a lie" is a propaganda used to justify genocide and dangerous indeed.

In a Country where 800,000 children are kidnapped every year and enter into the human and sex trafficking trade we laugh at the "Pizza-gate" conspiracy theory, one example where an attempt to expose this horrible thriving business in a place it did not exist. But it becomes less humerous when someone shows up at the business, armed, in a terrorist like action. This brings to mind more conflicting opinions and ideas about the restriction of information. Anyone who has done their research knows the sex trafficking of children is a huge business in America and most of them do not live to adulthood, but at the same time we see a conspiracy theory that motivated someone to a terrorist like act of violence against a nonexistent threat and a lack of awareness within most citizens of this Country on the thriving trade through our mainstream communication systems of human and sex trafficking of children.

This leads to bigger questions about the regulation of information. An important thing to understand about conspiracy theories is that the difference between a conspiracy theory and a scandal is that for a conspiracy theory to graduate into a legitimate conspiracy requires an established legitimate institution to validate the claim of this conspiracy. Anyone who studies history knows conspiracies are nearly commonplace throughout history.

When Hitler was first in power he was seen as a philanthropist with famous quotes like "As a Christian it is not my duty to be cheated, but it is my duty to be a fighter for truth and justice." Hailed as a philanthropist by the mainstream media of Time magazine and on good terms with our president the idea of racial genocide seemed impossible. Conspiracy theories emerged of Hitler's racial genocide, and eventually the truth broke through and our government, a legitimate established institution of government, validated the truth of these claims which resulted eventually into our entrance into World War 2.

Watergate was also a conspiracy theory until proven true by the US government. The idea that the GMO manufacturing company Monsanto was producing harmful chemicals in their weedkiller was a conspiracy theory until it was proven true by lawyers who pursued a class action lawsuit against Monsanto for causing cancer. Every conspiracy theory has a chance of being true, and every conspiracy starts as a theory, but we as a democracy have relied on the established institutional system to raise awareness to the general public about potential conspiracies.

Some conspiracy theories are proven untrue of course. The idea that global warming is a hoax has been proven untrue, but government employees in exchange for money in their personal bank accounts from companies will say they think it is a hoax. FOX News once touted the conspiracy theory that president Obama was not born a US Citizen, which would invalidate his presidency from being valid as no person not born in America can become president. The president, seeing the seriousness of this baseless accusation presented to the public his birth certificate to validate the fact he was in fact born in this Country.

While President Trump cites fake news for this and that President Obama understood a key part of our democracy which was that all conspiracies, from Hitlers racial genocide, to Watergate, to Monsanto that were proven true required first the raising of awareness of the theory to the general public following the proof by a valid institution. The institution of the US Government that provides documentation of his birth certificate was therefore his method of disproving the claim rather than denying it and refusing to pay it any mind.

Of course just as many American believe the world is flat so do many Americans believe Obama was not born in this Country, simply because the statement has been made and never officially retracted. One conspiracy theory was mainstream and one was on the Internet. Both are dis-proven and both are still believed by large numbers of people.

After 9/11 a movie reached the mainstream public, not through television but through movie theaters written by Michael Moore called Fareignheight 911. This brought to light a lot of previously unknown evidence to the mass public implicating George W Bush as the instigator of 911. This led to many people relying on Alex Jones for more information which included a very informative set of documentaries in the "Police State" series which included footage from mainstream media news stations proving the US Government was paying actors to riot in order to instigate tyrannical laws through our government's legislation process.

Eventually Alex Jones grew in followers and his banner ads ran at \$10,000 a month for just a banner ad on his website which was drawing a total of 10 million viewers a month, which was more views than the television cable news going out nationally was getting. At a certain point Alex Jones lost all credibility citing fake satanic conspiracies, flat earth, reptilian shapeshifters, and his famous infiltration of Skull and Bones society and trying to demonize this fraternity along with Bohemian Grove

It came to a point where Russia had the ability to help Donald Trump get elected by spreading conspiracy theories about Hillary that the US Government began to realize the serious impact on our democratic process these misinformation campaigns were having. Rather than the government regulating these things various social media and information sharing platforms agreed to regulate these things themselves.

To advertise political media on FaceBook now requires a verification process as well as identification of who is paying for some types of political advertisements. In addition FaceBook, Twitter, and YouTube have all banned Alex Jones from their platforms due to the fact he is no longer credible, but people are still taking him seriously. I personally supported this move. As a person who understands most conspiracy theorists are not real, but who still supports the exploration of these theories and the right to raise awareness I felt no one was harming this process more than Alex Jones who made the few people who were logical and rational about studying conspiracy theories seem less credible by default.

But this draws to line the question of how far does this censorship of information need to go? When Senator Feinstien attempted to introduce legislation to regulate free speech on the Internet she was quoted as saying "Free speech is a privileged reserved for the educated" when in fact it is considered a right according to our constitution. While most Democrats supported her bill it was shot down by the Republicans. We now learn today that social media platforms and websites are perfectly capable of regulating themselves without the need of Feinstien's draconian legislation which would have allowed the US Government to determine itself if any news site were credible and shut it down with no explanation or due process.

However even in regulating themselves, how far is too far? YouTube has censored Nazi footage now that more Americans are aware that America, unlike the rest of the civilized world, struggles with racism and has actual Nazis who wield swastikas at rallies, still has the KKK, etc. However by censoring this history are we censoring too much?

Likewise social media accounts like twitter, FaceBook, and even information websites like YouTube are dumping all conspiracy theories into the same category. Anyone who studies history knows governments in general are subject to corruption. To believe your government and its employees is somehow exempt from this rule is merely ignorant. In America a process exists for raising awareness, and for a conspiracy theory to graduate into fact it must first be verified by an established legitimate institution. However before this can take place public awareness must be raised on the issue.

If all evidence of 9/11 being an inside job is wiped off of social media and YouTube (and it is) along with other 9/11 conspiracy theories and lumped into the same category as the people who think the earth is flat, reptilian shapeshifters walk amoung us, etc etc then there is no platform to spread awareness of any potential evidence that supports the claims of what might be an actual conspiracy.

As I said previously with the Internet and the series of devices we have connected them we have something new under the sun. Some regulation is obviously necessary, but some freedom is necessary as well. How far is too far? With a president who screams "fake news" we should keep in mind that if Feinstien had her legislation passed Trump could have shut down any Internet extension of CNN permanently and most Americans would agree this was an abuse of power. Of course CNN and The New York Times are commonly known to be part of operation mockingbird which, once again was proven by a legitimate established institution (Congress) to be real. Part of Operation Mockingbird was coining the phrase "conspiracy theorist" to discredit people who dissented against the government.

How far is too far? Getting Alex Jones off of social media is good, but what if it is discovered there is truth to Bush's involvement in 9/11? How much harm is really done by sharing footage and evidence on YouTube? What about the false evidence? What about the rest of the world seeing these things and possible terrorist activity against America by people who think it is true even if it isn't? If nothing else Trump and his potential impeachment has been a big reminder to America about potential conspiracies.

Trump was friends with Epstein who was a conspiracy theory until he was arrested by the US Government (an established legal institution) for sex trafficking children (minors.) He was a conspiracy theory because of his affiliation with the Clintons, but suddenly proven true was also affiliated with Trump. After his suicide (or many believe murder) a lot remains uncovered, but what if all the social media accounts trying to raise awareness on Epstein had been deleted and no investigation transpired because of problems from the fake Pizza-gate conspiracy theory?

As we have something new under the sun it's important to remember the balance of all of these issues. From the time this Country was founded an elite few controlled the flow of information and the Free Masons and US Government have carried on this tradition for a long time. Now with the bypassing of geographic, political, and religious barriers in a way never before seen in all of human history we have the potential to do things humanity has never done before. Hopefully by sharing this information with you I have opened your mind to some of the important conflicts we face as we tread forward as a species trying to understand how to use and regulate something new under the sun.